# ПРИМЕРЫ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ МОДЕЛИ КОМАГМАТ

Расслоенные интрузивы и вулканические серии

# WINDOWS ВЕРСИЯ МОДЕЛИ КОМАГМАТ

🗊 COMAGMAT-3.53				🎢 Comagamt 3.53w :: Composition Editor		
File Edit Process Help				File Edit III Sin2 Tin2 Al2013 Fen Man Man Can Na20 K20 P205 Co2013 Lini Learnale		
Select a modeling process	Simulating effect of pressur	e Number of start compositions	1	1         57.8         1.803         1.903          1.903 <th 1.90<="" th=""><th></th></th>	<th></th>	
C Thermometry of Mineral-Melt Equilibria	<ul> <li>Isobaric crystallization</li> </ul>	⊂Specify Data Files		3         58.70         2.56         13.30         10.90         0.07         2.43         5.98         1.97         2.64         0.00         0.08         0.00         1089           4         61.00         2.74         13.30         9.19         0.05         2.56         5.90         1.42         2.24         0.00         0.07         0.00         1072		
Simulating Equilibrium Crystallization	C Increasing pressure routine	e		5 57.40   1.55   14.70   9.97   0.18   4.71   8.28   2.32   1.09   0.00   0.01   0.00   1135 5 59.00   2.00   14.70   9.90   0.14   3.99   7.87   2.54   1.40   0.00   0.02   0.00   1123		
© Simulating Fractional Crystallization	C Decompression crystallization	on Major element contents	>> 🏈	7         5/30         2/5         13/50         10/70         0.24         4.06         7/30         2/57         1.80         0.000         0.001         0.000         11/25           7         57/30         2/75         13/50         10/70         0.24         4.06         7/33         2.59         1.80         0.000         0.001         10/05           8         5/30         1.31         15/40         1.34         0.15         5/20         7/67         3/31         0.74         0.00         0.011         0.00         1105		
O Simulating Layered Intrusion Formation	Total pressure (P kbar)	Trace element contents	>> 🧳	9 55.10 1.55 14.30 10.90 0.15 4.79 7.86 3.44 0.91 0.00 0.04 0.00 1139 10 55.70 1.55 14.50 11.60 0.09 4.41 7.84 3.29 0.93 0.00 0.03 0.00 1130		
<u> </u>	Mauim procesure (Pro kbar)	10.0		<b>11</b> 54.50 1.66 13.20 12.90 0.16 4.27 7.84 2.88 0.99 0.00 0.04 0.00 1116 <b>12</b> 55.50 2.22 13.50 11.50 0.19 3.97 7.54 2.93 1.16 0.00 0.03 0.00 1116		
Trace element information includes:	Maxini pressure (Enti,Kbar)	Distribution coefficients		12         5550         2.22         1550         11.30         6.13         5.37         7.34         2.33         1.10         6.00         6.03         6.00         100           13         58.00         2.78         13.50         12.80         0.17         3.16         6.85         2.34         1.47         0.00         0.07         0.00         1099		
Melts     O Selected mineral (01)				14         55.10         2.36         12.60         13.40         0.16         3.46         7.42         2.47         1.33         0.00         0.02         0.00         1093           15         57.20         2.71         13.10         13.00         0.20         3.11         6.97         2.11         1.48         0.00         0.02         0.00         1076           15         57.20         2.71         13.10         0.20         0.20         3.11         6.97         2.11         1.48         0.00         0.02         0.00         1076		
Minerals	Simulating redox conditions	Mineral-Melt geothermomete	15 >> 🤗	16         55.50         1.39         15.70         8.68         0.22         3.12         5.67         2.75         1.36         0.00         0.01         0.00         1139           17         59.60         1.43         15.60         9.89         0.15         3.13         5.44         2.56         1.36         0.00         0.02         0.00         1139		
G Olivina C Magnetita	C Closed system (Fe2+/FeD r/	atios) Correction of model temperat	tures >> 🤌	18         59.70         1.53         15.40         8.78         0.20         3.45         5.62         2.58         1.39         0.00         0.00         1122           19         55.70         1.30         14.10         14.00         0.12         3.24         5.69         2.51         1.27         0.00         0.00         1116		
O Plagioclase O Ilmenite	Open system (oxygen buffe	из)		<b>20</b> 60.30 1.58 14.60 9.19 0.20 3.17 5.26 2.33 1.47 0.00 0.01 0.00 1106 21 60.50 1.75 13.60 10.60 0.13 2.79 5.15 1.82 1.67 0.00 0.02 0.00 1093		
C Pigeonite C Orthopyroxene	C. Constant C.	Oxygen buffer parameters	>> 🥔	21         0030         1.13         1.30         0.16         2.15         5.15         1.02         1.01         0.00         0.02         1.03           22         60.80         1.95         13.70         11.30         0.17         2.55         5.01         1.67         1.67         0.00         0.01         0.00         1085		
C Augite	Main oxygen buffer	QFM Parameters of intrusion proc	ess >> 🤌	composition editor	modify	
Solving equilibrium problem at given	Given Igf02 - shifting	0.00				
Crystallization increment 1 % up to 50 %				Исходные составы		
Simulating trace elements	Precision of calculations	🗊 Calculating results			_ []	
Mn, Ni, Co, Cr, Sc, V, Sr, Ba, Rb, Cu	Temperature convergence, C	File				
🔿 La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Er, Yb, Lu	Phase compositions, mol.%	Select composition	Melt Composi	sition Dens Sin2 [Tin2] 41203 [Fen   Men [Can   Na20   K20   P205   H20   Fe/Me   Ca/41	Fe2/Fe 0	
	H2O content in model system, wt %	#2	0.0 1109.5 2	2.60 57.51 2.10 13.85 11.52 3.58 7.19 2.335 1.819 0.000 0.000 3.249 0.519 2.60 57.59 2.11 13.84 11.50 3.48 7.09 2.356 1.836 0.000 0.000 3.249 0.519	0.848 0	
Simulating Equilibrium Crystallization		#4	2.0 1105.1 2	2.60 57.67 2.12 14.03 11.48 3.38 6.99 2.377 1.853 0.000 0.000 3.335 0.509 2.60 57.75 2.13 14.07 11.48 3.31 6.91 2.389 1.869 0.000 0.000 3.423 0.498	0.848 0	
		Coloct data table with calculating results	4.0 1102.4 2	2.59 57.82 2.14 14.07 11.50 3.26 6.83 2.392 1.886 0.000 0.000 3.496 0.491	0.847 0	
Muuuuonuonuun		Phase proportions   Crystallization proportions	5.0 1101.4 2 6.0 1100.3 2	2.59 57.89 2.16 14.07 11.53 3.22 6.75 2.395 1.903 0.000 0.000 3.554 0.485 2.59 57.96 2.17 14.07 11.55 3.17 6.67 2.399 1.921 0.000 0.000 3.614 0.480	0.847 0	
инициализация		Melt compositions   Kd	7.0 1099.2 2	2.59 58.03 2.19 14.07 11.56 3.12 6.59 2.402 1.939 0.000 0.000 3.676 0.474 2.59 58.11 2.20 14.09 11.57 2.07 6.52 2.405 1.957 0.000 0.000 2.740 0.469	0.847 0	
		Minerals compositions, mol.%   wt.%	9.0 1096.9 2	2.53         56.11         2.26         11.51         6.67         6.32         2.465         1.531         6.666         6.666         6.463           2.59         58.19         2.22         14.08         11.59         3.02         6.44         2.408         1.975         0.000         0.000         3.806         0.463	0.846 0	
параметров		Trace elements in Olivine, ppm or C/Co	10.0 1095.7 2	2.59 58.26 2.23 14.07 11.60 2.97 6.37 2.407 1.994 0.000 0.000 3.871 0.457 2.59 58.34 2.25 14.06 11.62 2.93 6.29 2.407 2.014 0.000 0.000 3.934 0.452	0.846 0	
			12.0 1093.4 2	2.59 58.42 2.26 14.06 11.63 2.89 6.21 2.406 2.033 0.000 0.000 3.998 0.447	0.846 0	
		1	14.0 1092.3 2	2.55         58.50         2.28         14.05         11.64         2.84         6.14         2.406         2.034         0.000         0.000         4.064         0.442           2.58         58.58         2.30         14.05         11.65         2.79         6.06         2.405         2.074         0.000         0.000         4.131         0.437	0.845 0	
		Calast analysis	15.0 1090.0 2	2.58 58.67 2.31 14.05 11.64 2.74 5.98 2.406 2.095 0.000 0.000 4.201 0.431	0.845 0	
		Select graphics	16.0 1088.8 2	2 58   58 76   2 33   14 06   11 64   2 70   5 90   2 406   2 117   0 000   0 000   4 273   0 426		
		Phase Relations in terms of Temperature	16.0 1088.8 2 17.0 1087.7 2	2.58 58.76 2.33 14.06 11.64 2.70 5.90 2.406 2.117 0.000 0.000 4.273 0.426 2.58 58.85 2.35 14.07 11.62 2.65 5.83 2.407 2.139 0.000 0.000 4.348 0.420	0.845 0	
		Select graphics	16.0 1088.8 2 17.0 1087.7 2 18.0 1086.5 2 19.0 1085.3 2	258         58.76         2.33         14.06         11.64         270         5.90         2.406         2.117         0.000         0.000         4.273         0.426           258         58.85         2.35         14.07         11.62         2.65         5.83         2.407         2.139         0.000         4.348         0.426           258         58.95         2.37         14.08         11.61         2.60         5.75         2.408         2.162         0.000         0.000         4.424         0.414           258         59.05         2.38         14.09         11.59         2.55         5.66         2.409         2.185         0.000         0.000         4.501         0.408	0.845 0 0.844 0 0.844 0	
		Phase Relations in terms of Temperature     Persee Relations in terms of Temperature     Sequence of Crystallization     Total Solid/Melt Modes     Oxygen Fugacity	16.0 1088.8 2 17.0 1087.7 2 18.0 1086.5 2 19.0 1085.3 2 20.0 1084.2 2 21.0 1082.3 3	258         58.76         2.33         14.06         11.64         2.70         5.90         2.406         2.117         0.000         0.000         4.273         0.426           258         58.85         2.35         14.07         11.62         2.65         5.83         2.407         2.139         0.000         0.000         4.248         0.426           258         58.85         2.37         14.08         11.61         2.60         5.75         2.408         2.162         0.000         0.000         4.248         0.424           258         59.05         2.38         14.09         11.51         2.60         5.75         2.408         2.162         0.000         0.000         4.248         0.414           258         59.05         2.38         14.09         11.59         2.55         5.66         2.409         2.185         0.000         0.000         4.501         0.408           257         59.15         2.40         14.10         11.56         2.50         5.58         2.411         2.205         0.000         0.000         4.561         0.406           257         59.40         2.37         1.411         11.26         2.411         2.205	0.845 0 0.844 0 0.844 0 0.844 0 0.844 0	

Liquid Lines of Descent for Major Elements

🗄 Mineral Compositions in terms of Temperature 🗾

- Si02

Mg0 & Fe0

- CaO & Al2O3

TiO2 & P205

Na20 & K20

- CaO/Al2O3 & FeO/MgO

23.0 1081.7 2.56

27.0 1078.2 2.55

28.0 1077.3 2.54

29.0 1076.3 2.54

2.56

2.55

2.55

24.0 1080.9

25.0 1080.0

26.0 1079.1

#### Результаты расчетов

59	3.05	2.38	14.09	11.59	2.55	5.66	2.409	2.185	0.000	0.000	4.501	0.408	0.844	0
59	3.15	2.40	14.10	11.56	2.50	5.58	2.411	2.209	0.000	0.000	4.581	0.402	0.844	0
59	9.42	2.37	14.10	11.38	2.47	5.54	2.411	2.235	0.000	0.000	4.663	0.396	0.843	0
59	9.64	2.35	14.11	11.25	2.42	5.47	2.414	2.260	0.000	0.000	4.647	0.393	0.842	0
59	9.86	2.34	14.13	11.11	2.38	5.40	2.415	2.287	0.000	0.000	4.675	0.387	0.842	0
60	0.08	2.32	14.14	10.98	2.34	5.33	2.417	2.314	0.000	0.000	4.703	0.382	0.841	0
60	0.31	2.30	14.16	10.84	2.29	5.26	2.418	2.341	0.000	0.000	4.731	0.377	0.841	0
60	0.54	2.28	14.17	10.70	2.25	5.19	2.419	2.370	0.000	0.000	4.760	0.371	0.840	0
60	0.78	2.27	14.19	10.56	2.21	5.11	2.420	2.399	0.000	0.000	4.789	0.366	0.839	0
6	1.02	2.25	14.20	10.41	2.16	5.03	2.421	2.429	0.000	0.000	4.819	0.360	0.839	0
6	1.27	2.23	14.22	10.26	2.12	4.96	2.421	2.460	0.000	0.000	4.850	0.355	0.838	0,
0.0	1 60	2.24	14:00	10.10	2.07	4.00	0.401	0.401	0.000	0.000	4.001	0.540	0.007	- 0-

- 🗆 🗵

# SOME PETROLOGICAL APPLICATIONS

- Geochemical thermometry of marginal rocks from the Skaergaard intrusion
- Modeling the formation of ferrodiorites from the Chazhma sill, Kamchatka
- Modeling polybaric fractionation of MORB glasses from Mid-Atlantic Ridge
- Modeling the generation of high-Al basalts from the Klyuchevskoy volcano, Kamchatka









# METHOD OF GEOCHEMICAL THERMOMETRY



The method was designed to extract genetic information as "recorded" in the whole chemistry of cumulus rocks, such as the temperature, intercumulus melt and mineral compositions, and the initial modal (i.e. phase) proportions.

**Method of geochemical thermometry is accomplished by** means of computer modeling of the course of equilibrium crystallization for a set of rocks that assumed to have contained the same intercumulus liquid composition.

#### **GEOCHEMICAL THERMOMETRY OF MARGINAL ROCKS** from the Skaergaard intrusion

COMPOSITIONS OF THE MARGINAL ROCKS									
Compo- nents	Pri	Chilled gabbro							
	UT-04,	, UT-08, EC-10, MEO-10, KT-47,							
	<i>d</i> =2.5	<i>d</i> =8.5	<i>d</i> =1.0	<i>d</i> =3.0	<i>d</i> =6.0	n.d.			
SiO <sub>2</sub>	44.65	48.38	46.92	47.45	48.19	48.08			
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.69	0.60	0.63	0.40	0.81	1.17			
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.88	20.91	9.36	18.32	11.37	17.22			
FeO	14.16	7.19	12.84	9.49	11.04	9.63			
MnO	0.24	0.10	0.10	0.15	0.19	0.16			
MgO	23.61	7.06	17.22	9.83	14.53	8.62			
CaO	9.15	11.79	11.14	10.40	11.60	11.38			
Na₂O	0.81	2.61	1.22	2.36	1.78	2.37			
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.03	0.17	0.04	0.06	0.13	0.25			
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.10			

UT-04: $OI (1443^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1233^{\circ}C) \rightarrow PI (1174^{\circ}C),$ UT-08: $PI (1332^{\circ}C) \rightarrow OI (1231^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1164^{\circ}C),$ EC-10: $OI (1385^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1199^{\circ}C) \rightarrow PI (1187^{\circ}C),$ MEO-18: $PI + OI (1248^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1161^{\circ}C),$ KT-47: $OI (1346^{\circ}C) \rightarrow PI (1191^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1189^{\circ}C),$ EG4507: $PI (1242^{\circ}C) \rightarrow OI (1225^{\circ}C) \rightarrow Aug (1163^{\circ}C).$ 

These rocks belong to the Marginal Border Series of the Skaergaard intrusion.

All of them were selected within 10 m from the intrusive contact and have no any record of parental magma fractionation.

The results indicate a wide high-temperature field of *Ol* for high-magnesia samples and an early crystallization of *Pl* for aluminum enriched compositions.

#### **The Skaergaard intrusion**

#### In these T-X coordinates the modeled liquid lines of descent demonstrate a closing together and intersection near 1165°C.

This intersection is consistent with the premise that the selected rocks were mechanical mixtures of cumulus crystals plus a trapped melt.

Average liquid composition representing this cluster of six evolutionary lines at 1165°C is considered to present a probable initial melt composition intrinsic to the original crystal mush from which the contact rocks have been crystallized.

#### Map & generalized section

#### Initial Melt at 1165°C



UBZ-T







Taking tea among layered diabases of the Chazhma sill (Eastern Kamchatka, 1982)

#### **MODELING THE FORMATION OF FERRODIORITES** from the Chazhma sill, Kamchatka



This intrusion is composed of differentiated rocks ranging from high-Al diabases to diorites and granophyres.

The diorites make up a large number of finegrained leucocra-tic layers embedded between massive more dark diabases.

These observations allowed us to conclude that there were no large scale mixing between these two magmas. This leucocratic material was probably injected into the main magma body simultaneously with, or just after emplacement, as the body began to crystallize.

#### MODELING THE FORMATION OF FERRODIORITES from the Chazhma sill, Kamchatka

Geochemical studies have demonstrated that the compositions of Chazhma layers display a trend of decreasing iron with increasing silica content.

The presence of Magn crystals indicates these trends were originated due to the fractionation of magnetite-bearing assemblages from same basaltic andesite parent.



Finally, simulations near NNO buffer were carried out to accurately reproduce the iron-silica relations observed in the Chazhma suite.

### **MODELING POLYBARIC FRACTIONATION OF MORB GLASSES**

The tholeiitic compositions form two evident clusters that could indicate of two different tholeiitic magmas fractionating Cpx at a depth.

In attempt to understand this diversity, we carried out a set of polybaric calculations simulating fractionation at low to elevated pressures for a proposed highmagnesia parental basalt.



The results evidence for the diversity of tholeiitic glasses has been derived from same precursor, with these two compositional groups being different in the depth of the fractionation process.

A part of these melts has been originated near 6 kbars, whereas the majority of the glasses indicate of low pressures crystallization at the depth of 2-3 kbars.



KLYUCHEVSKOY'S GROUP OF VOLCANOES (Kamchatka, Russia)

extinct volc. Kamen' (40-50ka) high-Al basalts-andesites

Klyuchevskoy: basalts

Kamen: \_\_\_\_\_\_ basaltic andesites

Bezymianny: andesites

volc. Bezymianny (age: 15-20 ka) basaltic andesites andesites - dacites volc. Klyuchevskoy (age: 7 ka) high-Mg to high-Al basalts

#### **MODELING THE GENERATION OF HIGH-AL BASALTS** from the Klyuchevskoy volcano, Kamchatka



The volcanic edifice consists of numerous basalt lava sheets and pyroclastic materials, ranging continuously from high-Mg basalts to high-Al basalts containing at least 18% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The proposed genetic link between high-magnesia and high-alumina basalts includes the removal of mafic phases, principally olivine and augite.

#### **MODELING OF THE THE ISOBARIC FRACTIONATION PROCESS** at unhydrous conditions ranging from 1 atm to 20 kbars



At the pressures more than 8 kbars equilibrium crystallization of the highmagnesia parent results in the alumina enriched liquids. However, the modeled trends do not provide an adequate match with the observed CaO-MgO trend.

A possible explanation of these discrepancies is to link the formation of the observed suite with decompression fractionation of the parental highmagnesia magma containing small but significant water.

#### THE OPTIMAL MODEL OF THE DECOMPRESSION FRACTIONATION for the high-Mg magma of the Klyuchevskoy volcano



These chemical trends can be produced by ~40% fractionation of the *Ol-Aug-Sp-Opx* assemblage during ascent of the magma over the pressure range 19-7 kbars.

This is consistent with the decrease in the temperature from **1350 to 1100°C**, with ~2 wt.% of H2O in the initial melt.

#### PROPOSED EVOLUTION OF THE MAGMA PLUMBING SYSTEM for the Klyuchevskoy volcano



#### CONVECTIVE-CUMULATIVE MODEL SIMULATING THE FORMATION OF DIFFERENTIATED SILLS FROM THE SIBERIAN PLATFORM

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF "INTRUSION" PROGRAM AND FIELD STUDIES**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Integration of physical constraints into the COMAGMAT model

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

### COMPARISON OF CALCULATIONS WITH OBSERVATIONS

 Natural data for the Vavacan sill
 / Calculations

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

# ГЛАВНЫЕ ВЫВОДЫ ЛЕКЦИИ

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

# НЕТ НИЧЕГО ВАЖНЕЕ ПОЛЕВЫХ РАБОТ!

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)